

## 2024: REMIT TWENTY-THREE (23) – CALIBRATION OF MEASURING STANDS

NAME OF ORGANISATION	
<i>Association / Society or District proposing Remit</i>	<b>Mid Northern District Council</b>
PROPOSER	
<i>Name, designation, email &amp; phone # so you can receive any enquiries.</i>	<b>Leigh Taylor</b> Manager Mid Northern District Council E: <a href="mailto:midnortherndistrict@gmail.com">midnortherndistrict@gmail.com</a> M: 027 431 2092
REMIT	
<i>Outline the Remit proposal that you are putting forward.</i>	<b>Measuring – M</b> To increase the time between calibrations from two years to five years. Put the requirements for posting of Measuring rules and Calibration certificate in a separate clause for grammatical clarity.
CURRENT RULE(s)	
<i>Rule(s) number(s) and wording of current rule(s) this remit seeks to change.</i>	<b>M3 Measuring Machines</b> <b>g)</b> An official body must calibrate Metcalf Measuring Machines no less than two yearly, and the rules in full of measuring and the calibration certificate must be posted at all certified RAS Metcalf Measuring Stands.
PROPOSED NEW RULE(s)	
<i>Rule(s) number(s) and wording of new proposed rule(s).</i>	<b>M3 Measuring Machines</b> <b>g)</b> An official body must calibrate Metcalf Measuring Machines no less than once every five years unless any of the following have happened – i. An earthquake in the region. ii. Damage to the machine including its base or surrounds. ii. Damage to the building the machine is attached to.  <b>h)</b> The rules in full of measuring and the calibration certificate must be posted at all certified RAS Metcalf Measuring Stands.
RATIONALE	
<i>Outline the reason for the remit – eg “the current rules states 3 days. Our proposal states 2. The proposal reduces the waiting period by 1 day. Also note any circumstances or events which may have prompted this remit.</i>	In all the years since this rule was adopted, the officer from the official body doing the calibration has never had to make any adjustments to the Waikato machine. He considered that five years would be sufficient provided no damage had been made to the machine as listed above. That would save considerable cost. This remit has been put forward by Waikato Measuring Stand but has been unanimously endorsed by Mid Northern District Council at our Council meeting where measurers from four other MNDC where present.
CONTRA	
<i>Outline what might be the downside or negative.</i>	None